

Nguyen, George

From: Koytcheff, John
Sent: Thursday, July 29, 2004 3:25 PM
To: Nguyen, George; Graham, John
Subject: 10029138DE

George,
I scrutinized 10029138DE, and in my humble understanding there is no mention of any change of the secret [code?].

There is no mention of such a change, or necessity for change.

Col 1, line 63 thru line 4 on col 2:

"Essentially, the electric hand tool device contains a micro-control controlled control circuit, having an electronic key, containing a secret [code?] for the generation of a security signal, which is dependent upon an authorization by means of an assigned key, a transmit-receive unit, which communicates discontinuously in a touchless manner with a transmit-receive unit, located outside the casing [housing], and a locking [blocking] means for the cancellation or forestalling of a use in conformity with the aim of the electric hand-tool device, by an unauthorized user."

DOES NOT MENTION ABOUT any CHANGE OF THE SECRET [code?].

Col. 2, paragraph [0018] or line 48:

"As a result of the identification of the electric hand tool device with the help of the private key as a secret [code?], - respectively with the help of the device numebr, a substitute key can be calculated and manufactured - when the key is lost - with the help of the public key, by depositing the information, necessary for the authorization, respectively by depositing the private key in a secure place of deposit."

As you see, there is no mention per se of any change of the SECRET [code?], which thee inventor calls only SECRET without specifying what secret. The word 'code' is not used.

DOES NOT MENTION ANYTHING ABOUT CHANGE OF the SECRET [code?].

Anyhow, you can call me tomorrow if you have more questions.

Also, you may - if you will - deposit the said patent for written translation, provided you deem that feasible.

John Koytcheff 703-308-1185
USPTO Translator (GERMAN)